


TRAINING FOR THE EUROPEAN  
QUALIFYING EXAMINATION



# Basic Legal Questions for Pre-Exam and Paper D

*Updates to Study Guide and Q&A*

*L-book (May 2014)*

*(Updated until 3 November 2014)*

**DELTA**PATENTS

## Contents

<b>Introduction and acknowledgement .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>EQE 2015 preparation courses.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1. Update of Study Guide .....</b>	<b>3</b>
1. EPC – HTG-II .....	3
Training Material and Sources - Guidelines (EPC) .....	4
Training Material and Sources - Applicant's Guide (PCT) .....	5
G2, Law + Regulations; H3, Law + Regulations; Annex G p.142 .....	5
H3, Law + Regulations .....	5
H9, Law + Regulations .....	5
L1, Law + Regulations.....	6
L2, Law + Regulations.....	6
L3, Law + Regulations.....	6
L4, Law + Regulations.....	7
Note.....	7
<b>4. Update of Basic Legal Questions.....</b>	<b>9</b>
H3-22.....	9
H8-10.....	9
<b>5. Update of Answers to Basic Legal Questions .....</b>	<b>11</b>
B4-11 .....	11
C3-14.....	11
C7-25.....	11
H3-01.....	11
H3-04.....	12
H3-29.....	12
H4-14.....	12
H6-02 c), last bullet .....	12
H8-10.....	12
H9-02.....	13
H9-30.....	13
H10-25.....	13
H10-05.....	15
L2a-18 b).....	15
L3-03, L3-26 .....	15
L3-04 .....	15
L4-28 .....	15

© Copyright DeltaPatents, 2014

All rights reserved. No part of this Training Material may be reproduced, used in any way for generating further course material or updates, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written consent of DeltaPatents.

The Training Material is made available for personal use only. This Training Material may not be used in courses competing with DeltaPatents courses.

DeltaPatents does not accept any liability for the correctness or completeness of the Training Material.

## Introduction and acknowledgement

This document comprises an update to the Study Guide and Questions and Answers as used in our Basic Legal courses in 2014, and to the ‘Basic legal Questions for Pre-Exam and Paper D -book (“L-book”, edition May 2014, EQE 2015):

- Publications in OJ EPO after the Book was printed (OJ May 2014 - Oct 2014);
- Publications in PCT Newsletter after the Book was printed (PCT NL May - Oct 2014);
- Corrections to the questions and answers.

We thank all who provided any comments and all candidates who participated in our legal training courses, as well as those that gave feedback on our material via email, for useful feedback. Any further comments are highly appreciated.

The latest version of this document is available on our website.

Roel van Woudenberg,  
3 November 2014

## EQE 2015 preparation courses

DeltaPatents organizes further training sessions for candidates preparing for Pre-Exam 2015 and for the Main Exam 2015 in the period from April 2014 until February 2015. Also, our Correction Papers programme for Paper D, as well as the other EQE Main Exam papers, will start after the summer and be active until late January.

Please check our website for course details, further announcements and enrolment:

<http://www.deltapatents.com/eqe.html>

<http://www.deltapatents.com/eqe-pre-exam.html>

<http://www.deltapatents.com/eqe-main-exam-paper-d.html>

<http://www.deltapatents.com/registration--cancellation.html>

or contact us via email at:

[training@deltapatents.com](mailto:training@deltapatents.com) .

This page intentionally left blank

## 1. Update of Study Guide

### Training Material and Sources - Law +Regulations

#### 1. EPC – HTG-II

A new complete edition of “How to get a European patent, Guide for applicants, Part 2 (Euro-PCT Guide)”, 7<sup>th</sup> edition, is available online (since May 2014), up-to-date until 1 January 2014, and referring also to changes entering into force per 1 July 2014 (PCT) and 1 November 2014 (R.164 EPC) – see **OJ 2014, A58**.

The paragraph numbering changed compared to 6<sup>th</sup> edition - a few new paragraphs have been inserted and some restructured.

The correspondence between the paragraph numbering of the new, 7<sup>th</sup> edition (HTG-II (2014)) and the earlier, 6<sup>th</sup> version (HTG-II (2012)) is given below, as well as an indication of (only) the major changes:

HTG-II (2012)	→	HTG-II (2014)	Δ	Comment:
1 – 133		1 - 133	0	
				44: rewritten
				108: indicated that EPO practice on use of address of correspondence is scheduled for revision in 2014 (also 463)
				121: LT will close national route per 4 Sept 2014
		NEW: 134	N	
134 – 270		135 – 271	+1	
				235, 237, 249: WO-ISA for IA filed on or after 1 July 2014 available to the public as of international publication
				269: reference to amended R.164 (per 1 Nov) added
271 – 272		deleted		
273		Included in 272		
274 – 304		273 – 303	-1	
				279, 294: reference to amended R.164 (per 1 Nov) added
		NEW: 304		304: top-up search
305 – 369		305 – 369	0	
				306: PPH will be revised in 2014
				319: demand can be filed electronically as of 30 June 2014 (notice 12 June 2014 – OJ 2014, to be published)
				346: also if doubt as to entitlement
		NEW: 370 – 375	N	370 – 375: top-up search by EPO as IPEA per 1 July 2014
		NEW: 376	N	376: third party observations in IPE
370 - 371		Merged in 377		
372 – 420		378 – 426	+6	
421		Split into 427 - 428		
424		Merged into 428		

425 – 4543		431 – 460	+6	
		NEW: 461 - 463	N	461 – 463: communication with applicant
454		464	+10	464: address for correspondence not necessary compliant with EP phase requirements
455 – 586		465 – 596	+10	
				486: LT and SM added
				487: KR added
				576: new procedure R.62a/R.43(2) in EP-phase
587		Split into 597 – 598		597: changes entitlement fee reduction
588		599	+11	
		NEW: 600	N	600: changes entitlement fee reduction
589		601	+12	
622 – 633		634 – 646	+12	
		NEW: 647	N	647: as from 1 Nov 2014, completely revised R.164 procedure (non-unity on entry)
635 – 640		648 - 653	+13	
		NEW: 654	N	654: amended R.164: further search fees within 2m
641 - 645		655 – 659	+14	
				659 has been reversed to earlier text, where only language of proc of parent is mentioned, and no longer the original PCT filing language – unclear why
Annex I - XII		Annex I - XII		
				V: Erhardtstrasse replaced by Bob-van-Benthem-Platz
				VIII: box divisional authorization pre-crossed
				XI (entry form): version 05.11 → 04.14 (added box with declaration R.6(4), added box 11.2 validation fees); included notes to entry form
				XII (fee form): version 09.10 → 04.14

Be reminded that the HTG-II guide is not accepted as legal basis in your D-paper if 'true' legal basis cover the topics, e.g., Articles, Rules, OJ-references, a Guidelines-reference, or case law.

In the Study Guide and in the Answers, all references to HTG-II (2012) may be replaced by references to HTG-II (2014).

### Training Material and Sources - Guidelines (EPC)

A new edition of the Guidelines entered into force per 1 November 2014 – OJ 2014, A88

The content has been updated according to the recent legal changes. The EPO website has a list of sections amended in the 2014 revision on the Guidelines webpages (<http://www.epo.org/law-practice/legal-texts/html/guidelines/e/m.htm>).

The paragraph numbering changed marginally compared to September 2013 edition. Significant numbering changes are in particular:

- A-IV, 1.1.1.1 and A-IV, 1.1.1.2 have been deleted;

- New A-IV, 1.1.2 was introduced (sequences of divisionals)
- A-IV, 1.1.2 was renumbered as A-UIV, 1.1.3
- New A-IV, 1.4.1.1 was introduced (generation fee for divisionals of higher generation)
- A-IV 2.2-2.7 were reordered and renumbered
- The due date for designation fees changed: moved from A-X, 5.2.1 to A-X, 5.2.2
- A-X, 9.2.1 was amended for new R.6(3)-(7) and RFees 14(1)
- A-X, 9.2.4-9.2.7 were deleted in view of amended R.6 and RFees 14
- New C-III, 2.3 was added – searched under R.164(2)
  - Many other paragraphs were amended in view of amended R.164(1) and R.164(2)
- D-VII, 4.1-4.2 were extended and renumbered
- E-XII was amended and renumbered
- New F-III, 12 was introduced (sufficiency and inventive step)
- New G-VI, 7.1.2-7.1.5 introduced

In the Study Guide and the Answers to the questions, other references to the GL (2013) may be replaced by references to the same paragraphs in GL (2014).

### **Training Material and Sources - Applicant's Guide (PCT)**

“Introduction to the International Phase”:

- 5.075-5.083 have been amended – declarations under R.4.17;
- 7.027-7.032 have been updated to reflect the earlier public availability of the WO-ISA by the July 1 amendment to R.44bis
- 10.062A has been introduced and 10.074 amended to reflect the top-up search by the IPEA

“Introduction to the National Phase”:

- 4.010-4.018A have been amended to better specify what needs to be translated
- 6.034 has been introduced – accelerated / fast-track examination before certain offices after a positive IPRP(Ch,I/Ch.II).

Further, several Annexes were updated, especially fee amounts cited therein.

### **C7, Law + Regulations:**

- PCT NL 09/2014: Kuwait (KW) acceded Paris Convention; bound per 2 Dec 2014

### **G2, Law + Regulations; H3, Law + Regulations; Annex G p.142:**

- OJ 2009, 598 and OJ 2011, 110 superseded by OJ 2014, A87

### **H3, Law + Regulations:**

Add:

- OJ 2014, A98 (Dec Pres 10/9/2014) “web-form filing service” (per 2/10/2014)
- OJ 2014, A97 (Dec Pres 10/9/2014) “new online filing (CMS)” (per 2/10/2014)

### **H9, Law + Regulations:**

- OJ 2014, A86 "Ex officio stay of opposition proceedings where G3/14 situation applies"

**L1, Law + Regulations:**

- OJ 2014, A50 - Notice from the European Patent Office dated 17 April 2014 concerning the online filing of subsequently filed documents under the PCT – complements OJ 2009, 182 as from 22 April 2014:
  - documents relating to the international application under Rule 89*bis*.2 PCT may be filed online via the PCT-SFD plug-in in the EPO Online Filing software
- OJ 2014, A99 “Notice from the European Patent Office dated 4 September 2014 concerning the use of an address for correspondence in proceedings before the EPO by persons acting without a professional representative or agent” (per 1/11/2014)
- PCT NL Jul-Aug 2014, Practical Advice “The importance of the order in which applicants are named in the request form where no common agent has been appointed”
- PCT NL Sep 2014, Practical Advice “Recording a change of applicant when also a change of agent”

**L2, Law + Regulations:**

- PCT NL June 2014, Practical Advice: The need to take into account national security provisions when filing an international application
- OJ 2014, A89 - Notice PCT Direct
  - as from 1 Nov 2014, filing informal comments to search opinion of priority application together with the PCT application with EPO=rO is possible, if priority application searched by EPO – conditions and procedure
- OJ 2014, A98 (Dec Pres 10/9/2014) “web-form filing service” (per 2/10/2014)
- OJ 2014, A97 (Dec Pres 10/9/2014) “new online filing (CMS)” (per 2/10/2014)
- OJ 2014, (to be published) (Dec Pres 24/10/2014) “Use of ePCT-filing for filing IA with EPO as rO (per 1/11/2014)”

**L3, Law + Regulations:**

- OJ 2014, A57 - Notice from the European Patent Office dated 12 May 2014 concerning the introduction of a "top-up" search in the procedure under Chapter II PCT (per 1 July 2014):
  - The "top-up" search performed by the EPO will mainly focus on finding intermediate prior art documents that have become public since the international search was performed and that could become relevant under Article 54(3) EPC if the application enters the regional phase before the EPO. It will also cover prior art that was cited in national proceedings for the same application if such documents became available to the EPO in its function as IPEA
- OJ 2014, A71 - Notice from the European Patent Office dated 13 June 2014 concerning the online filing of the demand under PCT Chapter II
  - as from 30 June 2014, the demand under PCT Chapter II may be filed online via the PCT-DEMAND plug-in in the EPO Online Filing software ; - Rule 89*bis*.2 PCT; OJ 2009, 182.
- PCT NL June 2014 – Chile begins operation as ISA and IPEA per 22 October 2014
  - EPO, KIPO, ES and USPTO are already competent ISA/IPEA for Chileans
  - See also PCT NL 10/2012



**L4, Law + Regulations:**

- PCT NL May 2014 – Reminder: Lithuania closed national route per 4 Sept 2014
- OJ 2014, A70 - Notice from the European Patent Office dated 10 June 2014 concerning amended Rules 164 and 135 EPC
  - Additional information on amended R.164 per 1 Nov 2014
  - Note: refers to GL as in force per 1 Nov 2014

**Note:**

CEIPI made a few changes to the CEIPI module coding and the CEIPI Study Guide per July 2014. These changes are not yet reflected in the current edition of the DeltaPatents Study Guide and the rest of the L-book, nor in this Update.

The changes comprise:

- All amendments to the EPC Regulations effective 1 April and 1 November 2014 have been incorporated/reflected in (the keywords of) the CEIPI Study Guide.
- Modules D3 and D1 have been swapped: first the concept of "inventor" is introduced and thereafter "entitlement proceedings" are dealt with.
- All aspects of US patent law relevant for the Basic Training Courses, which did not fit well in C7 "Priority", have been moved to a new module K3 "Aspects of US patent law".
- As before the Unitary Patent Regulations are dealt with in K2.

This page intentionally left blank

## 4. Update of Basic Legal Questions

### H3-22

Clarify the first paragraph of the question as follows, to make it unambiguous:

European patent application EP1 describes inventions A, B, C and D, but only claims inventions A, B and C. EP1 is still pending. A divisional application DIV1 was timely divided out of EP1, with the same description as EP1, but claiming only invention D. After quite some debate with the Examining Division, DIV1 was refused in oral proceedings last week.

### H8-10

Correct the question as follows:

A EP application was filed on 5 June 2011. In response to objections raised during examination, the applicant amended the claims from the initially filed 16 claims, for which claims fees were duly paid upon filing the EP application, to 20 claims. The Examining Division issued a R.71(3) communication dated 5 ~~April~~ May 2014 wherein the Examining Division made amendments to two dependent claims of the latest text submitted by the applicant. The applicant agrees with the text, but not with the amendments made by the Examining Division. The applicant wants to proceed to grant as quick as possible, and therefore wishes to go to grant by cancelling the two dependent claims that the Examining Division had amended. The applicant considers to file a set of amended claims consisting of the 18 claims that the Examining Division did not amend.

What needs to be done to get the 18 remaining claims?

Today is 25 June 2014.

For each of the statements below, indicate whether the statement is true or false.

- (a) The applicant should file the amended claims on or before 18 August 2014 and wait for a second R.71(3) communication
- (b) The applicant must file translations of the amended claims into the other two EPO languages on or before 18 August 2014 ~~24 September 2012~~.
- (c) The amendments may be presented as handwritten amendments on a copy of the set of the original claims, after which the Examining Division will send a new R.71(3) without any further intermediate communications.
- (d) The applicant must pay two claims fees on or before 18 August 2014 ~~24 September 2012~~.

This page intentionally left blank

## 5. Update of Answers to Basic Legal Questions

All references to HTG-II (2012) may be replaced by references to HTG-II (2014) according to the table given in the paragraph “Update to Study Guide”.

References to GL (2013) may be replaced by references to GL (2014) – the paragraph numbering changed only marginally (see above for exceptions).

### B4-11

- The FAQ “Amended Rule 6 EPC – Language-related fee reductions – frequently asked questions” (at <http://www.epo.org/service-support/faq/procedure-law/language-related-fee-reductions.htm>) clarifies which amount of fee needs to be paid:

*“A reduced payment made by an applicant not belonging to one of the categories listed in Rule 6(4) EPC has the same effect as if no payment was made at all. The EPO issues a communication noting a loss of rights, and the applicant may file a request for further processing (Article 121 and Rule 135 EPC) within two months of notification of the communication. Within the same period, he has to pay **the missing amount of the fee (omitted act) together with 50% of the (full) unpaid fee** (further processing fee for late payment, cf. Article 2(1), item 12, RFees).”*

- This is different from the earlier, informal, information (missing amount + 50% over missed amount) that we used in our answer.
- Please correct the answers to:
  - The loss-of-rights may be remedied by further processing – Art.121, R.135- subject to making good the underpayment by paying 30% of the filing/examination fee and paying the fee for further processing [over the full filing/examination fee](#) - OJ 2014, A23, item 13; [FAQ on amended Rule 6](#).

### C3-14

*Change the reference to Case law book (2010) I.C.1.9.3 to Case law book (2013) I.C.2.2 – I.C.2.4*

### C7-25

New accessions to Paris Convention:

- Kuwait (KW) – bound per 2/12/14 – PCT NL 9/2014 (was already WTO)

### H3-01

Please correct the answer under c) to:

- (c) Yes.
  - The date of filing = the date of receipt at EPO Office.
  - No regular mail is delivered at the EPO on Good Friday; EPI is closed on Good Friday, as well as on Easter Monday (6 April 2015)
  - The earliest date of filing is Tuesday 7 April 2015, which is the first possible day that regular mail is delivered at the EPO.

**H3-04**

Add provisions of the newly introduced online tools

(see <http://www.epo.org/news-issues/news/2014/20141001.html> and links therein):

- OJ 2014, A97 (Dec Pres 10/9/2014) “new online filing (CMS)” (per 2/10/2014)
- OJ 2014, A98 (Dec Pres 10/9/2014) “web-form filing service” (per 2/10/2014)

**H3-29**

Please correct the calculation of page fees from  $4 \times 15 = 70$  EUR to  $4 \times 15 = 60$  EUR and correct the further amounts accordingly.

**H4-14**

Please correct the date calculation under a) into:

At the last day of the period of R. 70(1) [AAD 6.1(a)],  
so  $19/11/2014 + 6m$  [R.70(1), R.131(4)] → 19 May 2015, Tue

**H6-02 c), last bullet**

See above for B4-11: For requesting FP, applicant has to pay the missing amount of the fee (omitted act) together with 50% of the (full) unpaid fee (further processing fee for late payment, cf. Article 2(1), item 12, RFees).”

**H8-10**

Correct the answers and comments as follows:

**Answers**

- (a) T [R.71(6), GL (2014) C-V, 4.1 and 4.6; ~~5/5/14~~ 5/4/14 + 10d + 4m [R.131(4)] → 15/8/14, Fri (Assumption Day) [R.134(1)] → 18/8/14]
- (b) F [R.71(6), GL (2014) C-V, 4.1]
- (c) F [OJ 2013, 603 – handwritten not anymore allowed since 1/1/2014]
- (d) F [GL (2014) C-V, 4.1]

**Comment**

(a)-(b) *The R.71(3) procedure changed per 1/4/2012 - OJ EPO 2010, 637; OJ 2012, 52*

- *Under the new procedure, the applicant must [GL (2014) C-V, 2 and C-V, 4; OJ 2012, 52; see also new R.71(3) communication]:*
  - *EITHER approve the text and verify the bibliographic data by filing translations of the claims and paying the fees for grant and publishing as well as any claims fees due*
  - *OR, in case of disapproval, request reasoned amendments or corrections to the text communicated to him or keep to the latest text submitted by him (R.71(6)).*

- R.71(6):
  - File amended claims (set of 15)
  - Before
    - 5/4/14 + 10d + 4m [R.131(4)] → 15/8/14, Tue Fri (Assumption Day) [R.134(1)] → 18/8/14
  - Then wait for second R.71(3) communication (if just deleting claims, Examiner should consent as "those amendments [...] do not appreciably delay the preparations for the grant of the patent") - GL (2014) C-V, 4 & C-V, 4.4 & H-II, 2.5.1
  - Then within 4 months from notification of this 2<sup>nd</sup> R.71(3) communication, file translations
  - Also pay fee for grant and publishing - Rfees2(1).7
- R.71(4):
  - Claims fees have to be paid, if not paid under R.45 (at filing stage), so now for claims above 16
  - → pay (18 – 16 =) 2 x claims fees Rfees2(1).15
  - but do not need to be paid yet if applicant disapproves the R.71(3) text - GL (2014) C-V, 4.1
- In case of disapproval by filing of amendments:
  - No payment of fees or filing of translations necessary - GL (2014) C-V, 4.1
  - Amendments should be reasoned – GL (2014) C-V, 4.3

(c) OJ 2013, 603: EPO strictly applies R.49 and R.50 – R.50(1) jo R.49(8) require typed

## H9-02

Add provisions of the newly introduced online tools

(see <http://www.epo.org/news-issues/news/2014/20141001.html> and links therein):

- OJ 2014, A97 (Dec Pres 10/9/2014) "new online filing (CMS)" (per 2/10/2014)
- OJ 2014, A98 (Dec Pres 10/9/2014) "web-form filing service" (per 2/10/2014)

## H9-30

### Answer

(c) F (after closure of proceedings, in non-public part of the file; GL (2014) E-V, 3, last sentence)

### Comment

- GL (2014) E-V, 3: "Observations by third parties received after the conclusion of proceedings will not be taken into account and will simply be added to the non-public part of the file." (note: in the 2012-edition of the GL, the words "non-public part of" were not yet present, and it was suggested that the third parties would be added to the public part, and thus open for inspection via the register"

## H10-25

### Answer

Yes – G1/12:

- According to Rule 99(1)(a) EPC, the notice of appeal must contain the name and address of the appellant in accordance with the provisions of Rule 41(2)(c). Besides the administrative purposes, the purpose of this provision is to ensure that the appellant can be identified and so make it possible to establish whether or not the appeal was filed by a party to the proceedings within the meaning of Art. 107 (see T 97/98, loc. cit., Reasons No. 1.3). – G 1/12, r.26; T 97/98
- Possible to correct this error under R. 101(2) by a request for substitution by the name of the true appellant, provided the requirements of R. 101(1) have been met, i.e.:
- provided that the appellant was identifiable, i.e. the provisions on the adversely affected party in Art. 107 EPC and R. 101(1) EPC were met, on expiry of the two-month period, so that the correction only expresses what was intended when the appeal was filed within that period. – G 1/12, r.27
- an incorrect indication of the appellant's identity is a deficiency which can be remedied, provided "its correction does not reflect a later change of mind as to whom the appellant should be, but on the contrary only expresses what was intended when filing the appeal" - G 1/12, r.28; T 97/98

- In cases of an error in the appellant's name, the general procedure for correcting errors under R. 139, first sentence, EPC is available under the conditions established by the case law of the boards of appeal, i.e. – G 1/12, r.37:
  - (a) The correction must introduce what was originally intended. For example, an applicant wishing to add a designation not originally intended on filing cannot rely on the first sentence of Rule 88 EPC 1973 (J 8/80, OJ EPO 1980, 293, in particular Reasons No. 7). The possibility of correction cannot be used to enable a person to give effect to a change of mind or development of plans (J 8/80, loc. cit., Reasons No. 6; J 6/91, OJ EPO 1994, 349). It is the party's actual rather than ostensible intention which must be considered.
  - (b) Where the original intention is not immediately apparent, the requester bears the burden of proof, which must be a heavy one (J 8/80, loc.cit., Reasons No. 6).
  - (c) The error to be remedied may be an incorrect statement or an omission.
  - (d) The request for correction must be filed without delay.
- Furthermore, an allowable correction under R. 139 has retrospective effect,
- So that, if correction of the error is allowed, the appeal will be found admissible and the condition of Art. 107 will have been satisfied within the 2m period according to Art. 108, first sentence
- Proceedings before the EPO are conducted in accordance with the principle of free evaluation of evidence. This also applies here where assessing whether R.101(1) and/or R.139 is complied with.

### Comment

OJ 2012, 588: Referral G1/12 by T 445/08:

- *Keywords: "Notice of appeal filed in name of person not entitled to appeal – Error alleged in identity (yes): deficiency under Rules 101(2) and 99(1)(a) EPC or error under Rule 139 EPC? Point of law of fundamental importance – contradictory case law [yes] – legal uncertainty about admissibility requirements [yes] – necessity to refer questions to Enlarged Board [yes]"*
- *Headnote: The following questions referred to the Enlarged Board of Appeal:*
  - (1) *When a notice of appeal, in compliance with Rule 99(1)(a) EPC, contains the name and the address of the appellant as provided in Rule 41(2)(c) EPC and it is alleged that the identification is wrong due to an error, the true intention having been to file on behalf of the legal person which should have filed the appeal, is a request for substituting this other legal or natural person admissible as a remedy to "deficiencies" provided by Rule 101(2) EPC?*
  - (2) *If the answer is yes, what kind of evidence is to be considered to establish the true intention?*
  - (3) *If the answer to the first question is no, may the appellant's intention nevertheless play a role and justify the application of Rule 139 EPC?*
  - (4) *If the answer to questions (1) and (3) is no, are there any possibilities other than restitutio in integrum (when applicable)?*
- *The questions were answered by the EBoA as follows: G 1/12:*
  - (1) *Question (1):*  
*The answer to reformulated question (1) - namely whether when a notice of appeal, in compliance with Rule 99(1)(a) EPC, contains the name and the address of the appellant as provided in Rule 41(2)(c) EPC and it is alleged that the identification is wrong due to an error, the true intention having been to file on behalf of the legal person which should have filed the appeal, is it possible to correct this error under Rule 101(2) EPC by a request for substitution by the name of the true appellant - is yes, provided the requirements of Rule 101(1) EPC have been met.*
  - (2) *Question (2):*  
*Proceedings before the EPO are conducted in accordance with the principle of free evaluation of evidence. This also applies to the problems under consideration in the present referral.*
  - (3) *Question (3):*  
*In cases of an error in the appellant's name, the general procedure for correcting errors under Rule 139, first sentence, EPC is available under the conditions established by the case law of the boards of appeal.*
  - (4) *Question (4):*  
*Given the answers to questions (1) and (3), there is no need to answer question (4).*



**H10-05**

Add provisions of the newly introduced online tools

(see <http://www.epo.org/news-issues/news/2014/20141001.html> and links therein):

- OJ 2014, A97 (Dec Pres 10/9/2014) “new online filing (CMS)” (per 2/10/2014)
- OJ 2014, A98 (Dec Pres 10/9/2014) “web-form filing service” (per 2/10/2014)

**L2a-18 b)**

Please correct last bullet:

- So 14/5/11 will be filing date - Art.11(2)(b), R.20.3(b)(i)

**L3-03, L3-26**

Per 22/10/2014, also Chile (CL) can act as ISA and IPEA – PCT NL June 2014

**L3-04**

Please correct the answers to:

- (a) France: [AG-IP – Annex C – FR; EPO: Art.152 EPC]
  - (a.1) F
  - (a.2) T
  - (a.3) F
  - (a.4) F
- (b) USA: [AG-IP – Annex C – US]
  - (b.1) T
  - (b.2) T
  - (b.3) T

**L4-28**

Please correct the date calculation:

- 1/12/2013 + 6m [R.70(1), R.131(4)] → 1/6/2014 (Sun) [R.134(1)] → 2/6/2014

This page intentionally left blank